

*Protocol for Assessing Community Excellence in
Environmental Health (PACE-EH)
Tuesday, December 13, 3:30pm Meeting
Deer Haven Preserve
4183 Liberty Rd.*



- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Review of survey results to date

PACE-EH

PACE Mission
PACE is a dynamic collaborative process, which exists to assess, protect, and improve the community's environmental quality and health.

PACE Vision
"The people of Delaware County live, work, and play in a healthy environment in every stage of their life while they preserve and enjoy their county's natural heritage, and an enhanced quality of life."



PACE-EH Survey Results

Pollution	Much more attention	More attention	No additional attention	Not sure	Weighted average	Response count	12-13-10 Wt. Average
Swimming pool illness	7%	23%	45%	25%	1.13	878	1.54
Air quality-outdoor	19%	34%	37%	10%	1.61	875	1.77
Air quality-indoor (mold, allergens, radon, etc.)	13%	32%	41%	14%	1.44	881	1.75
Drinking water quality	26%	34%	31%	9%	1.78	859	1.91
Recreational use/aquatic health water quality	16%	32%	37%	15%	1.48	880	1.49
Excessive lawn or farm chemical runoff	27%	35%	23%	15%	1.74	881	1.80
Failing sewage systems	22%	31%	28%	19%	1.56	877	1.81

Safety	Much more attention	More attention	No additional attention	Not sure	Weighted average	Response count	12-13-10 Wt. Average
Food safety/quality	18%	34%	37%	11%	1.58	879	1.94
Injuries (falls, burns, drowning, farm)	6%	19%	55%	20%	1.11	878	1.66
School safety	11%	33%	41%	15%	1.40	877	1.81
Campground safety	4%	18%	55%	23%	1.02	875	1.42
Playground safety	8%	21%	53%	18%	1.18	876	1.58
Traffic congestion	35%	39%	20%	6%	2.03	878	1.91
Family safety from violence and crime	18%	40%	30%	12%	1.62	884	1.87
Hazardous chemicals transport	14%	25%	40%	21%	1.30	881	1.56
Motor vehicle crashes	14%	32%	41%	13%	1.47	877	1.5
Being in close proximity to hunting areas	10%	24%	46%	20%	1.24	880	1.38

Climate Change and Impacts							
	Much more attention	More attention	No additional attention	Not sure	Weighted average	Response count	12-13-10 Wt. Average
Wind power	39%	32%	20%	9%	2.0	882	1.85
Solar power	43%	33%	16%	8%	2.10	876	1.90
Regional impacts to climate change (increased temperature, flooding, droughts, disruption to usual animal and plant life.)	26%	29%	30%	15%	1.66	879	1.74

Land Use							
	Much more attention	More attention	No additional attention	Not sure	Weighted average	Response count	12-13-10 Wt. Average
Overdevelopment/over-building	44%	29%	20%	7%	2.10	884	1.90
Farmland preservation	39%	35%	19%	7%	2.05	879	1.93
Commercial development	38%	29%	26%	7%	1.97	882	1.82
Abandoned buildings	26%	34%	29%	11%	1.75	880	1.71

Conservation of land for parks	35%	32%	27%	6%	1.96	882	1.98
Preservation of natural areas	43%	31%	21%	5%	2.13	883	2.06

Quality of Life	Much more attention	More attention	No additional attention	Not sure	Weighted average	Response count	12-13-10 Wt. Average
Public Transportation	27%	32%	30%	11%	1.74	881	1.80
Roadway improvements	25%	46%	23%	6%	1.90	879	1.91
Access to sidewalks	25%	33%	34%	8%	1.76	881	1.92
Bike and walking trails	30%	36%	29%	5%	1.91	881	1.89
Litter	21%	44%	30%	5%	1.81	879	1.59
Access to recycling	34%	33%	28%	5%	1.96	876	1.94
Hotel/Motel (bedbugs)	15%	24%	32%	29%	1.24	880	1.76
Biodiversity (animal habitats)	22%	30%	32%	16%	1.57	879	1.85
Availability of environmental education	15%	35%	37%	13%	1.50	881	1.84
Access to water trails (canoeing, kayaking, etc.)	15%	31%	41%	13%	1.48	883	1.61

Access to fresh foods	23%	32%	37%	8%	1.70	877	1.99
Access to developed parks (playgrounds, sport fields)	12%	27%	52%	9%	1.42	877	1.76
Ability to walk to stores, schools, etc.	27%	34%	32%	9%	1.78	881	1.81

Recreation							
	Much more attention	More attention	No additional attention	Not sure	Weighted average	Response count	12-13-10 Wt. Average
Access to nature parks/trails	23%	33%	38%	6%	1.73	868	1.80
Access to fishing/hunting/trapping	9%	19%	56%	16%	1.21	870	1.68

7. AGE		
Years	Response percent	2009 Census, estimates
25 and under	12%	35% (24 and under)
Age 26-44	26%	30%
Age 45-64	42%	26%
65 and older	20%	9%

8. Gender		
	Response percent	2009 Census, Estimates
Female	62%	50.1%
Male	38%	49.9%

9. Highest Education		
	Response percent	2009 Census, Estimates
Less than high school	7%	3.7%
High school diploma	9%	22%
College or technical	43%	31%
Professional school	4%	17% (combined with graduate)
Graduate school	19%	
Post graduate school	11%	unknown
Left blank	7%	

10. Household income range		
	Response percent	2009 Census, Estimates

\$24,999 and under	3%	10%
\$25,000-\$49,999	8%	19%
\$50,000-\$74,999	17%	17%
\$75,000-\$124,999	21%	45% (combined with \$125,000-\$200,000)
\$125,000-\$200,000	12%	
\$200,000-up	2%	9%
I would prefer not to say	14%	
Left blank	23%	

III. Review of GIS map

389 surveys received in Delaware.
169 received in Powell.

IV. Discussion of next steps

Scatterplot of the different issues.
Put comments received under each issue.

3Regional mapping of leading issues. If the regions do not have the same results, we will conduct 3 town meetings to find out how the issues are defined, and what action should be taken to address the issue.

Regions by income and age to.

We will also do system framework as defined in the PACE-EH document.